Dear Contributor,

Thank you for participating in the public consultation of the ICNIRP draft guidelines.

Please note that it is important that ICNIRP understands exactly the points that you are making. To facilitate our task and avoid misunderstandings, please:

* be concise
* be precise
* provide supporting evidence (reference to publication, etc.) if available and helpful.

**Please provide your details below as per the online form and the provision of the privacy policy**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Last name, first name: LAST NAME, First name | Email address: Your email address. | Affiliation (if relevant): Your affiliation |
| If you are providing these comments officially **on behalf** of an organization/company, please name this here: organization/company | | |

**Please complete the comments table:** Please use 1 row per comment. If required, please add extra rows to the table.

|  | **Document**  **(Guidelines, App A,**  **App B)** | **Line Number**  **#** | **Type of comment (General/ Technical/ Editorial)** | **Comment** | **Proposed change** | **Context** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | Guidelines | 192 | General | For frequencies between 100 kHz and 10 MHz, the ICNIRP 2010 and this guidelines draft provide different limits and reference levels (value and nature). This does not allow easy assessment . | ICNIRP should synthesize both guidelines (2010 et 2018) and provide a single reference level and a single limit for workers as well as a single limit and reference for the public. | Explain the context of your comment. |
| **2** | Guidelines | 681/697 | Technical | Reference levels WB (table 4) and local exposure (table 5) have the same values for frequencies below 400 MHz.  The exposure (table 4) is averaged over the whole body and over 30 minutes, while the spatial peak exposure (table 5) is averaged over 6 minutes.  What is the interest of reference levels (Table 4) knowing that they are not sufficient to comply with the reference levels for a local exposure (Table 5). | ICNIR should provide better consistency between the two tables 4 et 5. | Explain the context of your comment. |
| **3** | Guidelines | 610 to 738 | Editorial | too much notes under tables 4 to 6. This makes these tables unclear. | Simplify the tables: repeat values if necessary | Explain the context of your comment. |
| **4** | Appendix A | 601 | General | ICNIRP does not provide arguments / studies to explain how reference levels for local exposure for frequencies <6 GHz are established | provide the basis for reference levels for local exposure <6 GHz | Explain the context of your comment. |
| **5** | Document ? | Line number | Type of comment | Insert your comment. | Insert your proposed change. | Explain the context of your comment. |
| **6** | Document ? | Line number | Type of comment | Insert your comment. | Insert your proposed change. | Explain the context of your comment. |
| Continue numbering | Document ? | Line number | Type of comment | Insert your comment. | Insert your proposed change. | Explain the context of your comment. |

Add further rows if needed. For this copy the above row.

And paste it here.