

Public Consultation Template - ICNIRP Draft RF Guidelines, Appendix A, Appendix B

Comments to be uploaded until 9.10.2018

Dear Contributor,

Thank you for participating in the public consultation of the ICNIRP draft guidelines.

Please note that it is important that ICNIRP understands exactly the points that you are making. To facilitate our task and avoid misunderstandings, please:

- be concise
- be precise
- provide supporting evidence (reference to publication, etc.) if available and helpful.

How to complete the comments table:

Please use 1 row per comment. If required, please add extra rows to the table.

This response document asks you to provide your 'comment', your 'proposed change', and the 'context' to this comment and proposed change. What is meant by these is the following:

Comment: A brief statement describing the issue that you have identified (and that you would like ICNIRP to take into account in the final version of the guidelines).

Proposed Change: A brief statement describing how you would like the document changed to account for this issue.

Context: A brief statement identifying relevant documents in support of your comment and proposed change.

Please, provide your details below as per the online form and the provision of the privacy policy

Last name, first name: LAST NAME, First name	Email address: Your email address.	Affiliation (if relevant): Your affiliation		
If you are providing these comments officially on behalf	of an organization/company, please name this l	nere: organization/company		
 □ I hereby agree that, for the purpose of transparency, my identity (last and first names, affiliation and organization where relevant) will be displayed on the ICNIRP website after the consultation phase along with my comments. □ I want my comments to be displayed anonymously. 				



Public Consultation Template - ICNIRP Draft RF Guidelines, Appendix A, Appendix B Comments to be uploaded until 9.10.2018

	Document (Guidelines, App A, App B)	Line Number #	Type of comment (General/ Technical/ Editorial)	Comment. Proposed change. Context.
1	Document ?	Line number	General	Signal levels allowed in th UK for wireless technology ARE damaging to public health and Bees.
				Reduce UK signal levels to match those lowest ones found on the continent. Reassess and update ICNIRP's safety levels by basing them on biological criteria, NOT technological ones. Stop hiding crucial data that shows how dangerous this technology is.
				Wireless technology has bypassed crucial safety testing and should not have been marketed as safe. Profiteering and greed has been more important than human safety. Current UK safety levels for wireless devices are outdated and inadequate for safeguarding human health. Other European countries stay well below the levels set by ICNIRP. (Which are up to 800 times higher in the UK) Telecom companies were corruptly allowed to do their own safety testing for wireless devices. Their studies showed the technology was significantly damaging to humans and the results were kept quiet, hidden from the public. These actions are criminal and should be punishable by law.
2	Document ?	Line number	Type of comment	Safety levels for wireless technology are vastly inadequate in the UK
				Initiate and base new safety levels on biological criteria, NOT technological criteria. Safety levels should focus on the safety of all human beings, not machines.
				For a subject that has been studied since the 1930s, research is actively being supressed. Speaking for myself, I first became too ill to work in 1987. I was heavily exposed to electro magnetic radiation from high voltage power lines. The cumulative effect of exposure made further employment impossible. After some years I began to make a small recovery but eventually, with the rise of WiFi, smart meters and other wireless technology, the same symptoms have returned even worse than they were before. There is little I can do to protect myself against this technology that has previously been used as a weapon during the Cold War. The feeling equates to torture, constant and unstoppable. I feel it is slowly killing me and that my risk of cancer is much greater. Tinnitus, amongst many other symptoms, began around October 2015 and now there is an extra high pitched component since the end of August 2018. The whole effect makes life unbearable and it prevents a normal way of life, as I am under constant attack.



Public Consultation Template - ICNIRP Draft RF Guidelines, Appendix A, Appendix B Comments to be uploaded until 9.10.2018

3	Document ?	Line number	Type of comment	The NHS remains ignorant of health problems caused by wireless emissions.
				Urgently educate the NHS staff by turning over all research that you (and telecom companies) are currently hiding from the public.
				For thirty years I have been unable to get effective treatment or relief for electro hyper sensitivity. I have been misdiagnosed and misled into taking inappropriate medication. This has caused further health problems because the NHS staff are not aware of the symptoms of electro hyper sensitivity and they have no pathway to seek treatment.
				However, privatised healthcare is receiving funding for cancer treatment. This gives incentive to create more cancer patients. The more cancer cases, the more profits.
4	Document ?	Line number	Type of comment	Technological advance is harming everyone. Call a halt and establish new safety levels.
				Follow Russia's example and start removing masts, smart meters etc and reduce signal levels to a minimum. Take note of Russia's research that has been offered to the UK. Even a UK translation of Russia's research has been offered and declined.
				Russia is years ahead of the UK and despite it's bad press, their government is looking after their people much better than the UK does. Obviously, the truth of these dangers, if exposed, would interfere with profits and so has been hidden.
5	Document ?	Line number	Type of comment	ICNIRP is made up of (Invite Only) members who have conflict of interest in protecting the public.
				Membership of ICNIRP should be made up only of people who have NO conflict of interest.
				Current members are unfit for the purpose and this defeats the object of having an organisation which is supposed to protect the public.
6	Document ?	Line number	Type of comment	Public Health England (PHE) are facing legal actions for their failure to protect the public.
				Give PHE the evidence and research they need to establish new safety criteria.
				Again, there is conflict of interest with some members of PHE. This failure to employ conflict-free members is criminal and should be punishable by law.



Public Consultation Template - ICNIRP Draft RF Guidelines, Appendix A, Appendix B

Comments to be uploaded until 9.10.2018

		Line	Type of	Further evidence below.
numberin	numbering	number comm	comment	Read and acknowledge the letter from a Neuropsychologist.
				There is no treatment available for electro hyper sensitivity.

Add further rows if needed. For this copy the above row.

And paste it here.



Comments to be uploaded until 9.10.2018

